

Dynamic Optimisation of Alternating Activated Sludge Processes

M. Fikar*, B. Chachuat, M. A. Latifi


LSGC, CNRS-ENSIC, B. P. 451, 1 rue Grandville, 54001 Nancy Cedex, France; *FCFT STU Radlinskeho 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia. e-mail: {fikar,chachuat,latifi}@ensic.inpl-nancy.fr, fikar@cvt.stuba.sk

Objectives

- Nitrogen removal in single basin wastewater treatment plant.
- Solution method: dynamic optimisation.

- Determination of the optimal aeration policy (duration of the aeration and non-aeration sequences) which allows to minimise the energy consumption by the aeration system.
- Formulation of simple feedback rules.


Wastewater Treatment Plant Description



Parameters

- Aeration volume $V^{br} = 2,050 \text{ m}^3$
- Mechanical surface aerators (turbines) $\mathcal{P} = 30 \text{ kW}$, $k_L a = 4.5 \text{ h}^{-1}$
- Recycled sludge flowrate $Q^{rs} = 7,600 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$
- Excess sludge flowrate $Q^w = 75 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$
- Influent: $Q^{in} = 3050 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$, $\text{COD}^{in} = 343 \text{ mg/L}$, $\text{TN}^{in} = 33 \text{ mg/L}$

Influent Daily Variations



Model

Based on Activated Sludge Model No.1 (ASM 1). Consists of 11 state variables ($S_I S_S X_I X_S X_{B,H} X_{B,A} S_{NO} S_{NH} S_{ND} X_{ND} S_O$)^T and 20 parameters. The complete set of equations, parameters values, and influent conditions can be found on the European COST action 624 website <http://www.ensic.u-nancy.fr/COSTWWTP>.

Optimisation Problem

Variables

Manipulated

- Power to the turbines u_1 : on (1) / off (0)
- Aeration cycle: period of aeration followed by a period of non-aeration. The sequence of aeration/non-aeration times is denoted by u_2
- Number of aeration cycles per day N_c
- Initial conditions for the optimal stationary regime $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{p}$

Controlled

- chemical oxygen demand (COD),
- biological oxygen demand (BOD),
- suspended solids (SS),
- total nitrogen ($\text{TN} = S_{NO} + S_{NH} + S_{ND}$).

Optimisation

Cost

Minimise aeration time over the period of one day

$$\min_{u_2} J = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_c} u_2(\tau = \frac{2j-1}{2N_c})}{T}$$


Constraints

- $\text{COD}^{\max} \leq 125 \text{ mg/L}$ Maximum effluent constraint on COD
- $\text{BOD}^{\max} \leq 25 \text{ mg/L}$ Maximum effluent constraint on BOD
- $\text{SS}^{\max} \leq 35 \text{ mg/L}$ Maximum effluent constraint on SS
- $\text{TN}^{\max} \leq 10 \text{ mg/L}$ Maximum effluent constraint on total nitrogen
- $u_2(j) \in [15, 120] \text{ min}$, $j = 1, 2N_c$ Constraints on aeration times
- $T = \sum_{j=1}^{2N_c} u_2(j)$ Optimisation over one day
- $\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{x}(T)\| < \varepsilon$ Periodic stationary regime


Simulation Results

Optimal Control

Number of cycles per day fixed: $N_c = 29$



Optimal stationary trajectories with $J = 39.51\%$. Left: Nitrogen constraint, right: aeration policy




Left: Nitrate and nitrite nitrogen S_{NO} , right: Dissolved oxygen S_O

Simple Feedback Rules

Based on optimal nitrate and nitrite nitrogen, S_{NO} and dissolved oxygen, S_O , the following feedback rules are proposed

1. Start aeration when S_{NO} decreases sufficiently close to zero,
2. Stop aeration when S_O reaches a certain value.



Rule based control over several days. Left: Nominal and perturbed nitrogen constraint, right: nominal aeration policy. Perturbed conditions: 3rd day rainy with 100% increase of influent flowrate and 50% decrease of influent concentrations.

Results: in the long run, TN constraint only very slightly violated with average aeration rate close to optimal.

Conclusions

- Optimisation task defined and solved using the dynamic optimisation solver DYNO (www.ka.chtf.stuba.sk/fikar)
- Initial states considered as optimised parameters to obtain periodic steady state.
- Very satisfactory results with rule based feedback control
- Significant reduction of the total aeration time

- Results can indicate the relation between the actual and optimum operation and whether there is a room for improvement that will justify additional investments due to necessary sensors needed for state estimation.
- Stationary profile can be used as a setpoint at the existing plant or the simple rules observed here can be used to enhance the existing operating policies.

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to the Ministère de la Recherche et Technologie, Conseil Régional de Lorraine, and to VEGA MSSR (grants no. 1/135/03 and 1/8108/01).